

## strongSwan - Issue #964

### Memory leak in charon - with libipsec encryption/decryption

22.05.2015 09:18 - Sriram Dharwadkar

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Resolution:</b>																																																																																																
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal																																																																																																	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Tobias Brunner																																																																																																	
<b>Category:</b>	libipsec																																																																																																	
<b>Affected version:</b>	5.3.0																																																																																																	
<b>Description</b>																																																																																																		
Hi,																																																																																																		
I m using strongswan-5.3.0 for tunnel establishment & libipsec encryption/decryption.																																																																																																		
In our lab I tested a scenario where I sent,																																																																																																		
1. 20Mbps uplink traffic from the device where libipsec is running, to a remote server.																																																																																																		
2. 80Mbps downlink traffic from the remote server to the device where libipsec is running.																																																																																																		
These two traffics are sent simultaneously using iperf tool.																																																																																																		
I see that charon's memory usage gradually shoots up, it goes upto 651MB before the device crashes with out of memory.																																																																																																		
Top output																																																																																																		
<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>PID</th><th>USER</th><th>PR</th><th>NI</th><th>VRT</th><th>RES</th><th>SHR</th><th>S</th><th>%CPU</th><th>%MEM</th><th>TIME+</th><th>COMMAND</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>3863</td><td>root</td><td>18</td><td>-2</td><td>651m</td><td>513m</td><td>1976</td><td>S</td><td>132</td><td>46.2</td><td>3:17.09</td><td>charon</td></tr><tr><td>4421</td><td>apps</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>126m</td><td>14m</td><td>4848</td><td>S</td><td>46</td><td>1.3</td><td>0:36.59</td><td>rrc_entity</td></tr><tr><td>4419</td><td>apps</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>138m</td><td>103m</td><td>1948</td><td>S</td><td>31</td><td>9.3</td><td>0:45.75</td><td>egtpuApp</td></tr><tr><td>523</td><td>apps</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>46496</td><td>3828</td><td>2928</td><td>R</td><td>29</td><td>0.3</td><td>0:44.82</td><td>fsmDevLogProxy</td></tr><tr><td>660</td><td>root</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>99.0m</td><td>4076</td><td>2300</td><td>S</td><td>8</td><td>0.4</td><td>0:22.47</td><td>PlatformOam</td></tr><tr><td>5979</td><td>root</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>S</td><td>6</td><td>0.0</td><td>0:06.23</td><td>kworker/0:2</td></tr><tr><td>609</td><td>apps</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>9508</td><td>2628</td><td>2152</td><td>S</td><td>4</td><td>0.2</td><td>0:13.04</td><td>fsmSwitchMgr</td></tr></tbody></table>			PID	USER	PR	NI	VRT	RES	SHR	S	%CPU	%MEM	TIME+	COMMAND	3863	root	18	-2	651m	513m	1976	S	132	46.2	3:17.09	charon	4421	apps	20	0	126m	14m	4848	S	46	1.3	0:36.59	rrc_entity	4419	apps	20	0	138m	103m	1948	S	31	9.3	0:45.75	egtpuApp	523	apps	20	0	46496	3828	2928	R	29	0.3	0:44.82	fsmDevLogProxy	660	root	20	0	99.0m	4076	2300	S	8	0.4	0:22.47	PlatformOam	5979	root	20	0	0	0	0	S	6	0.0	0:06.23	kworker/0:2	609	apps	20	0	9508	2628	2152	S	4	0.2	0:13.04	fsmSwitchMgr
PID	USER	PR	NI	VRT	RES	SHR	S	%CPU	%MEM	TIME+	COMMAND																																																																																							
3863	root	18	-2	651m	513m	1976	S	132	46.2	3:17.09	charon																																																																																							
4421	apps	20	0	126m	14m	4848	S	46	1.3	0:36.59	rrc_entity																																																																																							
4419	apps	20	0	138m	103m	1948	S	31	9.3	0:45.75	egtpuApp																																																																																							
523	apps	20	0	46496	3828	2928	R	29	0.3	0:44.82	fsmDevLogProxy																																																																																							
660	root	20	0	99.0m	4076	2300	S	8	0.4	0:22.47	PlatformOam																																																																																							
5979	root	20	0	0	0	0	S	6	0.0	0:06.23	kworker/0:2																																																																																							
609	apps	20	0	9508	2628	2152	S	4	0.2	0:13.04	fsmSwitchMgr																																																																																							
Attaching the file containing necessary information.																																																																																																		
Please let me know if there is any information required to resolve this.																																																																																																		
Regards, Sriram.																																																																																																		

## History

### #1 - 22.05.2015 12:25 - Tobias Brunner

- Description updated

- Status changed from New to Feedback

As far as I can tell there are no memory leaks in libipsec. But our userland IPsec implementation is currently not intended for tunnels with constant high traffic (or very large bursts), as it's not particularly optimized for performance.

Two threads handle encryption/decryption in parallel, but other than that processing is not parallelized. Lookups for SAs and policies are also not specially optimized. And the queue for in- and outbound packets is not limited, so if packets can't be processed fast enough they end up getting queued up, requiring more and more memory (which is probably the "memory leak" you are seeing). You could try the two patches in the *libipsec-queue* branch, which implement a "Controlled Delay" (CoDel) queue to drop packets if processing does not happen fast enough, but that won't increase throughput, and will still require a considerable amount of memory (packets are still copied to userland before they can be dropped). The actual maximum throughput may be determined by using TCP with iperf instead of UDP with a fixed bandwidth.

For high-throughput tunnels using the IPsec implementation in the Linux kernel is recommended, optionally with [pccrypt](#) enabled.

### #2 - 08.07.2015 11:19 - Tobias Brunner

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed

- Assignee set to Tobias Brunner

**Files**

---

ipsec-info.txt

11.2 KB

22.05.2015

Sriram Dharwadkar