

strongSwan - Issue #3562

Some questions about Esp

12.09.2020 11:58 - zhenxing huang

Status:	Closed	
Priority:	Normal	
Assignee:	Tobias Brunner	
Category:	configuration	
Affected version:	5.8.2	
Resolution:	No change required	

Description

Hi,
I have some questions about ike/esp

- Q1:
When my esp configuration is as follows why failed to establish CHILD_SA ?

```
ike=aes256gcm16-prfsha256-x25519!  
esp=aes256gcm16-sha256-ecp384!
```

Output log

```
13[CFG] selected peer config 'a'  
13[IKE] authentication of '105' with pre-shared key successful  
13[IKE] peer supports MOBIKE  
13[IKE] authentication of '116' (myself) with pre-shared key  
13[IKE] IKE_SA a[1] established between 192.168.1.116[116]...192.168.1.105[105]  
13[IKE] scheduling reauthentication in 9830s  
13[IKE] maximum IKE_SA lifetime 10370s  
13[CFG] selected proposal: ESP:AES_GCM_16_256/NO_EXT_SEQ  
13[KNL] received netlink error: No such file or directory (2)  
13[KNL] unable to add SAD entry with SPI c51dfa23 (FAILED)  
13[KNL] received netlink error: No such file or directory (2)  
13[KNL] unable to add SAD entry with SPI c5c12bab (FAILED)  
13[IKE] unable to install inbound and outbound IPsec SA (SAD) in kernel  
13[IKE] failed to establish CHILD_SA, keeping IKE_SA  
13[KNL] deleting policy 192.168.20.0/24 === 192.168.10.0/24 in failed, not found  
13[KNL] deleting policy 192.168.20.0/24 === 192.168.10.0/24 fwd failed, not found  
13[ENC] generating IKE_AUTH response 1 [ IDr AUTH N(AUTH_LFT) N(MOBIKE_SUP) N(ADD_4_ADDR) N(AD  
D_6_ADDR) N(ADD_6_ADDR) N(ADD_6_ADDR) N(NO_PROP) ]  
13[NET] sending packet: from 192.168.1.116[4500] to 192.168.1.105[4500] (220 bytes)
```

ipsec statusall

```
Security Associations (1 up, 0 connecting):  
  a[1]: ESTABLISHED 30 seconds ago, 192.168.1.105[105]...192.168.1.116[116]  
  a[1]: IKEv2 SPIs: c135cc4a7bb4cce2_i* e4d21f2fd31e62bd_r, pre-shared key reauthenticati  
on in 2 hours  
  a[1]: IKE proposal: AES_GCM_16_256/PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256/CURVE_25519
```

- Q2:
When changed to

```
esp=aes256-sha256-ecp384!
```

Sometimes AES_CBC_256/HMAC_SHA2_256_128
sometimes AES_CBC_256/HMAC_SHA2_256_128/ECP_384
ipsec statusall

Security Associations (1 up, 0 connecting):

```
a[1]: ESTABLISHED 1 second ago, 192.168.1.105[105]...192.168.1.116[116]
a[1]: IKEv2 SPIs: d8b11e4862600474_i* 73f4d8b4fa8714b0_r, pre-shared key reauthentication in 2 hours
a[1]: IKE proposal: AES_GCM_16_256/PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256/CURVE_25519
a{1}: INSTALLED, TUNNEL, reqid 1, ESP SPIs: ca79ab32_i cdec05c1_o
a{1}: AES_CBC_256/HMAC_SHA2_256_128, 0 bytes_i, 0 bytes_o, rekeying in 47 minutes
a{1}: 192.168.20.0/24 === 192.168.10.0/24
```

- Q3:
Is the above ike/esp combination reasonable?

Thanks for you reply.

History

#1 - 14.09.2020 10:23 - Tobias Brunner

- Status changed from New to Feedback

- Q1:
When my esp configuration is as follows why failed to establish CHILD_SA ?

Your kernel probably doesn't support AES-GCM.

- Q2:
When changed to
[...]
Sometimes AES_CBC_256/HMAC_SHA2_256_128
sometimes AES_CBC_256/HMAC_SHA2_256_128/ECP_384
ipsec statusall
[...]

Yes, you'll only see a DH group after rekeying. The keys for the first CHILD_SA are derived from the IKE key material, so no DH group is negotiated there (see [ExpiryRekey](#) for details).

- Q3:
Is the above ike/esp combination reasonable?

Depends on your requirements. Maybe have a look at [SecurityRecommendations](#) and [IKEv2CipherSuites](#).

#2 - 14.09.2020 15:47 - zhenxing huang

Tobias Brunner wrote:

- Q1:
- Q2:
- Q3:

Thanks for your reply
and

My certificate is rsa or ecdsa, not x25519
And I set dhgroup on ike to 25519, does it take effect?

#3 - 15.09.2020 10:12 - Tobias Brunner

My certificate is rsa or ecdsa, not x25519
And I set dhgroup on ike to 5519, does it take effect?

The DH group for the **key exchange** is not related to the key type of the certificate used for the **authentication**.

#4 - 15.09.2020 14:38 - zhenxing huang

Tobias Brunner wrote:

My certificate is rsa or ecdsa, not x25519
And I set dhgroup on ike to 5519, does it take effect?

The DH group for the **key exchange** is not related to the key type of the certificate used for the **authentication**.

Okey.thank you very mach!!

#5 - 15.09.2020 14:56 - Tobias Brunner

- *Category set to configuration*
- *Status changed from Feedback to Closed*
- *Assignee set to Tobias Brunner*
- *Resolution set to No change required*