

## strongSwan - Issue #2684

### kernel\_netlink plugin - no traffic through VPN when IPv4 policy on IPv6 ESP tunnel uses IPv6 nexthop when installing IPv4 route

17.06.2018 08:18 - Matthew Grant

|   |                  |                                |
|---|------------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Status:</b>  | Closed           |                                |
| <b>Priority:</b>  | Normal           |                                |
| <b>Assignee:</b>  | Tobias Brunner   |                                |
| <b>Category:</b>  | kernel-interface |                                |
| <b>Affected version:</b>  | 5.6.3            | <b>Resolution:</b> No feedback |
| <b>Description</b>  |                  |                                |
| <p>On a dual stack client site with full Ipv6 and IPv4 addressing and full default routing, an IPv4 policy over an IPv6 ESP IPSEC VPN tunnel causes the libcharon kernel_netlink plugin to use the next hop to the IPv6 peer for the IPv4 route locally routing traffic down the VPN tunnel. This results in a route in table 200 saying the remote prefix in the policy is on the local ethernet! No traffic crosses the tunnel until a correct route is manually installed in the table.</p> <p>Patch to resolve issue attached. With this applied, VPN works each time it is brought up.</p> <p>Here are the debug log messages:</p> <pre>Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] adding policy 172.31.8.0/24 === 172.31.28.101/32 in [priority 371327, refcount 1] Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] adding policy 172.31.8.0/24 === 172.31.28.101/32 fwd [priority 371327, refcount 1] Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] adding policy 172.31.28.101/32 === 172.31.8.0/24 out [priority 371327, refcount 1] Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] getting a local address in traffic selector 172.31.28.101/32 Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] using host 172.31.28.101 Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] getting iface name for index 3 Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] using fe80::d6ca:6dff:fed0:71d9 as nexthop and wlan0 as dev to reach 2001:470:f012:1012::1/128 Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] installing route: 172.31.8.0/24 via fe80::d6ca:6dff:fed0:71d9 src 172.31.28.101 dev wlan0 Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[KNL] getting iface index for wlan0 Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 11[IKE] CHILD_SA ipsec-vpn{2} established with SPIs c51d9cde_i 08da8ddb_o and TS 172.31.28.101/32 === 172.31.8.0/24 Jun 12 19:54:56 en-gedi charon: 14[KNL] getting iface index for wlan0 Jun 12 19:55:01 en-gedi charon: 16[CFG] received stroke: terminate 'ipsec-vpn'</pre> |                  |                                |

#### History

##### #1 - 20.09.2018 18:16 - Tobias Brunner

- Tracker changed from Bug to Issue
- Category changed from libcharon to kernel-interface
- Status changed from New to Feedback
- Priority changed from High to Normal
- Start date deleted (17.06.2018)

Hm, I somehow missed this ticket.

Since [4.3.6](#) (more specifically, since [5be75c2cb189](#), which added the check that's now at [source:src/libcharon/plugins/kernel\\_netlink/kernel\\_netlink\\_net.c#L2615](#)) routes should get installed without a nexthop if it is of a different address family than the source IP. So in your case the actual route that's installed should be 172.31.8.0/24 dev wlan0 src 172.31.28.101 (the log message is printed before the decision is made to ignore the nexthop, so ignore that). This doesn't seem all that wrong.

##### #2 - 28.02.2019 16:47 - Tobias Brunner

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed
- Assignee set to Tobias Brunner
- Resolution set to No feedback

#### Files

|  |         |            |               |
|--|---------|------------|---------------|
| 05_gateway-protocol-tunnel-diff-families.patch | 1.23 KB | 17.06.2018 | Matthew Grant |
|--|---------|------------|---------------|

